

On the bound states of the Dirac equation in the extreme Kerr metric

D. Batic

Department of Mathematics, University of Los Andes, AA 4976 Bogota, Colombia

E-mail: dbatic@uniandes.edu.co, batic@itp.phys.ethz.ch

M. Nowakowski

Department of Physics, University of Los Andes, AA 4976 Bogota, Colombia

E-mail: mnowakos@uniandes.edu.co

Abstract. We study the eigenvalues of the angular equation arising after the separation of the Dirac equation in the extreme Kerr metric. To this purpose a self-adjoint holomorphic operator family associated to this eigenvalue problem is considered. We show that the eigenvalues satisfy a first order nonlinear differential equation with respect to the black hole mass and we solve it. Finally, we prove that there exist no bound states for the Dirac equation in the aforementioned metric.

1. Introduction

The last ten years have been characterized by an increasing interest in studying the behavior of Dirac particles in the geometry of an extreme black hole [1, 2, 3, 4]. The main picture arising from the aforementioned studies is that under certain conditions on the physical parameters the Dirac equation in the Reissner-Nordström, extreme Kerr and extreme Kerr-Newman metrics might admit the existence of bound state solutions. In turn, this leads to the tempting interpretation of such systems made of an extreme black hole plus a fermion as a new kind of atomic system with an extreme charged or uncharged black hole as its nucleus and around an electronic cloud.

A still open problem is to understand if such results could be relevant for astrophysics. The present work represents a contribution in this direction. Despite the common belief that the formation of an extreme Kerr black hole (EKBH) is of only academic interest it is our opinion that we cannot a priori exclude that EKBH's play no role in astrophysics. For instance, relativistic Dyson rings admit a continuous transition to an EKBH [5]. Studies about the existence of such rings based on numerical computations can be found in [6, 7]. Moreover, relativistic Dyson rings could emerge from astrophysical scenarios like stellar core-collapses with high angular momentum [8] or they might simply be present in central regions of galaxies. Finally, it has been recently proved that the only possible candidate for a black hole limit for stationary and axisymmetric, uniformly rotating perfect fluid bodies with a cold equation of state as well as for isentropic stellar models with a non-zero temperature is the EKBH [9]. Hence, it appears reasonable to study the Dirac equation in the geometry of an extreme black hole.

In what follows we restrict our attention to extreme Kerr black holes. Although it is not the most general model of the exterior region of a black hole we can analyze theoretically, it represents indeed the most realistic model in astrophysics since in general black holes are embedded in environments that are rich in gas and plasma and, consequently any net charge is neutralized by the ambient plasma. The main problem connected with the bound states for the Dirac equation in the extreme Kerr and Kerr-Newman metrics [2, 3] is that an energy eigenvalue ω has to satisfy a complicated set of conditions. Let us now consider an EKBH with mass M , angular momentum per unit mass $a = M$. Let m_e and $k = \pm 1/2, \pm 3/2, \dots$ be, the mass and the azimuthal quantum number of a spin 1/2 particle, respectively. Then, according to [2] the following conditions are necessary

$$2M\omega + k = 0, \quad m_e^2 - \omega^2 > 0, \quad \lambda_{nk}^2 + M^2(m_e^2 - 4\omega^2) > \frac{1}{4} \quad (1.1)$$

for ω to be an eigenvalue. Here, $\lambda_{nk} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ denotes an eigenvalue of the angular problem arising from the Dirac equation after separation of variable by means of the Chandrasekhar ansatz [10]. However, the solvability of the above system is not yet sufficient for the existence of an energy eigenvalue. [2] showed that if in addition either

$$\frac{Mm_e\omega}{\sqrt{m_e^2 - \omega^2}} + \lambda_{nk} = 0, \quad \frac{M(m_e^2 - 2\omega^2)}{\sqrt{m_e^2 - \omega^2}} + \sqrt{\lambda_{nk}^2 + M^2(m_e^2 - 4\omega^2)} = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

or

$$N + \frac{M(m_e^2 - 2\omega^2)}{\sqrt{m_e^2 - \omega^2}} + \sqrt{\lambda_{nk}^2 + M^2(m_e^2 - 4\omega^2)} = 0, \quad \text{for some positive integer } N \quad (1.3)$$

holds, then the solvability of the system (1.1) with (1.2) or (1.3) is sufficient for the existence of an eigenvalue ω . It is not clear that for given data of the black hole and the particle the system (1.1) with (1.2) or (1.3) is satisfied. Our present work is aimed to answer this question. There is one disturbing point concerning the first equation entering in (1.1), namely the supposed energy eigenvalues do not depend on both quantum numbers n and k but only on k . From a physical point of view this is strange since a simple analogy with the hydrogen atom would suggest that the energy eigenvalues should indeed depend on both quantum numbers n and k .

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we shortly derive the Dirac Equation in the EKBH. Section 3 is devoted to derive and to solve a nonlinear ODE for the eigenvalues λ with respect to the black hole mass parameter. In section 4 we construct a quasi-linear PDE for the eigenvalues with respect to the energy of the particle and the mass of the black hole. Moreover, we derive a formal power solution for λ . Finally, in section 5 we show that the solution set of the system (1.1) with (1.2) or (1.3) is empty. This result implies that there are no bound state solutions for the Dirac equation in the extreme Kerr metric.

2. The Dirac equation in the extreme Kerr metric

In Boyer-Lindquist coordinates $(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi)$ with $r > 0$, $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$ the extreme Kerr metric [4] is given by

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma}\right) dt^2 + \frac{4M^2 r \sin^2 \vartheta}{\Sigma} dt d\varphi - \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 - \Sigma d\vartheta^2 - (r^2 + M^2)^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \frac{\tilde{\Sigma}}{\Sigma} d\varphi^2 \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\Sigma := \Sigma(r, \vartheta) = r^2 + M^2 \cos^2 \vartheta, \quad \Delta := \Delta(r) = (r - M)^2$$

and

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := \tilde{\Sigma}(r, \vartheta) = 1 - M^2 \gamma^2(r) \sin^2 \vartheta, \quad \gamma(r) := \frac{r - M}{r^2 + M^2}$$

where M is the mass of a spinning black hole with angular momentum $J = M^2$. Since the equation $\Delta = 0$ has a double root at $r_0 := M$ the Cauchy horizon and the event horizon coincide.

In the following we consider a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle with mass m_e and charge e in the extreme Kerr background. The behavior of such a particle is governed by the Dirac equation, a linear system of four coupled partial differential equations. In the extreme Kerr metric the Dirac equation can be easily obtained from the results in [11] by setting the Kerr parameter a equal to the mass M of the black hole and it has the form

$$(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{A}) \Psi = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} im_e r & 0 & \sqrt{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_+ & 0 \\ 0 & -im_e r & 0 & \sqrt{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_- \\ \sqrt{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_- & 0 & -im_e r & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_+ & 0 & im_e r \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} -Mm_e \cos \vartheta & 0 & 0 & \mathcal{L}_+ \\ 0 & Mm_e \cos \vartheta & -\mathcal{L}_- & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{L}_+ & -Mm_e \cos \vartheta & 0 \\ -\mathcal{L}_- & 0 & 0 & Mm_e \cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mathcal{D}_\pm$ and $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_\pm = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mp \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[(r^2 + M^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + M \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right],$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\pm = \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} + \frac{1}{2} \cot \vartheta \mp i \left(M \sin \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \csc \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right).$$

By rearranging (2.2) we can write the Dirac equation in Hamiltonian form

$$i\partial_t \Psi = H\Psi \quad (2.3)$$

where H is a first order 4×4 matrix differential operator acting on spinors Ψ on hypersurfaces $t = \text{const}$. Similarly as in [11] we can construct a positive scalar product

$$\langle \Psi | \Phi \rangle = \int_M^{+\infty} dr \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \overline{\Psi}(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi) \Phi(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi) \frac{r^2 + M^2}{\Delta} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\overline{\Psi}$ denotes the complex conjugated transposed spinor. In the present work we are interested in time periodic solutions

$$\Psi(t, r, \vartheta, \varphi) = e^{-i\omega t} \Psi_0(r, \vartheta, \varphi)$$

of the Dirac equation (2.2) where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and Ψ_0 is normalizable, that is $\langle \Psi | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Psi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle = 1$. Notice that if such a solution exists, then ω is an eigenvalue of H for the eigenspinor Ψ_0 and ω represents the particle energy of the bound state Ψ .

By means of the Chandrasekhar ansatz [12]

$$\Psi_0(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = e^{-ik\varphi} \begin{pmatrix} f_1(r)g_1(\vartheta) \\ f_2(r)g_2(\vartheta) \\ f_2(r)g_1(\vartheta) \\ f_1(r)g_2(\vartheta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \{\pm 1/2, \pm 3/2, \dots\}$$

the Dirac equation decouples into the equations

$$\mathcal{R}\Psi = \lambda\Psi, \quad \mathcal{A}\Psi = -\lambda\Psi$$

with separation parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Finally, defining

$$f(r) := \begin{pmatrix} f_1(r) \\ f_2(r) \end{pmatrix}, \quad g(\vartheta) := \begin{pmatrix} g_1(\vartheta) \\ g_2(\vartheta) \end{pmatrix}$$

the Dirac equation can be separated into a radial part

$$\begin{pmatrix} (r-M)\frac{d}{dr} + i\frac{V(r)}{r-M} & im_e r - \lambda \\ -im_e r - \lambda & (r-M)\frac{d}{dr} - i\frac{V(r)}{r-M} \end{pmatrix} f(r) = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{d\vartheta} + \frac{1}{2} \cot \vartheta - Q(\vartheta) & \lambda - Mm_e \cos \vartheta \\ \lambda + Mm_e \cos \vartheta & -\frac{d}{d\vartheta} - \frac{1}{2} \cot \vartheta - Q(\vartheta) \end{pmatrix} g(\vartheta) = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$V(r) = \omega(r^2 + M^2) + \kappa M, \quad Q(\vartheta) = M\omega \sin \vartheta + k \csc \vartheta.$$

As in [2] we introduce the following definition

Definition 2.1 *We say that $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is an energy eigenvalue of (2.2) if there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and nontrivial solutions f of (2.5) and g of (2.6) satisfying the normalization conditions*

$$\int_M^{+\infty} dr \frac{r^2 + M^2}{\Delta} |f(r)|^2 = 1, \quad \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \sin \vartheta |g(\vartheta)|^2 = 1. \quad (2.7)$$

3. An ordinary differential equation for the eigenvalues λ

By means of the transformation

$$\tilde{g}(\vartheta) := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{g}_1(\vartheta) \\ \tilde{g}_2(\vartheta) \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\sin \vartheta} g(\vartheta)$$

the angular equation takes the form

$$(\mathfrak{U} \tilde{g})(\vartheta) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{d}{d\vartheta} + \frac{k}{\sin \vartheta} \\ -\frac{d}{d\vartheta} + \frac{k}{\sin \vartheta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g} + M \begin{pmatrix} -m_e \cos \vartheta & \omega \sin \vartheta \\ \omega \sin \vartheta & m_e \cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g} = \lambda \tilde{g} \quad (3.1)$$

with $\vartheta \in (0, \pi)$. It is straightforward to check that the solutions $\tilde{g}_1$ and $\tilde{g}_2$ of (3.1) have the following useful property

$$\tilde{g}_1(\pi - \vartheta) = \tilde{g}_2(\vartheta), \quad \tilde{g}_2(\pi - \vartheta) = \tilde{g}_1(\vartheta). \quad (3.2)$$

We can associate the minimal operator $\mathcal{A}_0$ to the formal differential expression $\mathfrak{U}$ acting in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} := L_2((0, \pi)^2, \mathbb{C}^2)$ of square integrable vector functions with respect to the scalar product

$$(\tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2) = \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \tilde{g}_2^* \tilde{g}_1, \quad \tilde{g}_1, \tilde{g}_2 \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The operator $\mathcal{A}_0$ given by $D(\mathcal{A}_0) = \mathcal{C}_0^\infty((0, \pi), \mathbb{C}^2)^2$ and $\mathcal{A}_0 \tilde{g} := \mathfrak{U} \tilde{g}$ for $\tilde{g} \in D(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is densely defined and closable. Moreover, since the formal differential operator $\mathfrak{U}$ is in the limit point case at 0 and π it follows that $\mathcal{A}_0$ is essentially self-adjoint. In the following we denote the closure of $\mathcal{A}_0$ by $\mathcal{A}$. To indicate the dependence of the angular operator $\mathcal{A}$ and its eigenvalues λ on the parameter M we use the notation $\mathcal{A}(M)$ and $\lambda(M)$.

According to [13] (Thm.5.8) the domain of $\mathcal{A}(0)$ is given by

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{H} : \tilde{g} \text{ is absolutely continuous and } \mathcal{A}(0)\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}(M) = \mathcal{A}(0) + T(M)$ with the bounded multiplication operator

$$T(M) = M \begin{pmatrix} -m_e \cos \vartheta & \omega \sin \vartheta \\ \omega \sin \vartheta & m_e \cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix}$$

its domain of definition $D(\mathcal{A})$ is independent of $M \in \mathbb{C}$ (see [14] Chap. IV, §1, Thm.1.1). Moreover, for $M \in \mathbb{R}$ it results that $T(M)$ is a symmetric perturbation of $\mathcal{A}(0)$ and Thm.4.10, Chap. V, §4 in [14] implies that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ is self-adjoint. According to the classification in [14] (Chap. VII, §3) $\mathcal{A}(M)$ forms a self-adjoint holomorphic operator family of type (A) in the variable $M \in \mathbb{C}$. Further, the spectrum of $\mathcal{A}(0)$ is discrete and consists of simple eigenvalues given by Lemma 3.3, Chap.3, §1.2 in [15]

$$\lambda_{n,k}(0) = \text{sign}(n) \left(|k| - \frac{1}{2} + |n| \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.3)$$

This means that $\mathcal{A}(0)$ has compact resolvent and Thm.2.4, Chap. V, §2 in [14] yields that $\mathcal{A}(M)$ has compact resolvent for all $M \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies that the eigenvalues $\lambda_{n,k} = \lambda_{n,k}(M)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ of $\mathcal{A}(M)$ are simple and depend holomorphically on M . Moreover, the first derivative of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to M is given by

$$\frac{d\mathcal{A}}{dM} = \begin{pmatrix} -m_e \cos \vartheta & \omega \sin \vartheta \\ \omega \sin \vartheta & m_e \cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix}$$

which yields the following estimates for the growth rate of the eigenvalues (see [14] Chap. VII, §3.4)

$$\left| \frac{d\lambda_{n,k}}{dM} \right| = \left\| \frac{d\mathcal{A}}{dM} \right\| \leq \max \{ |m_e|, |\omega| \}.$$

Here, $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the operator norm of a 2×2 matrix. In addition, Thm.4.10, Chap. V, §3 in [14] implies that

$$\min_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} |\lambda_{n,k} - \lambda_{n,k}(0)| \leq \|T(M)\| \leq \max \{ |m_e|, |\omega| \}$$

for each eigenvalue $\lambda_{n,k}$ of $\mathcal{A}(M)$. Finally, by interchanging the components of $\tilde{g}(\vartheta)$ it is easy to check that $\lambda_{n,k}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $-\lambda_{-n,-k}$ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ with k , M , and m_e replaced by $-k$, $-M$ and $-m_e$, respectively. Since the eigenvalues depend holomorphically on M the following identity holds

$$\lambda_{n,k}(\omega, m_e; M) = -\lambda_{-n,-k}(\omega, -m_e; -M)$$

for all $M \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, without loss of generality we can always restrict our attention to the case $k \geq 1/2$.

Theorem 3.1 *For fixed k , ω and m_e the eigenvalue $\lambda_{n,k}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the first order nonlinear separable differential equation*

$$\frac{d\lambda_{n,k}}{dM} = 2(M\omega + k) \frac{2\omega\lambda_{n,k} - m_e}{4\lambda_{n,k}^2 - 1} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\lambda_{n,k}(0)$ is given by (3.3).

Proof. For simplicity in notation we omit in the following the indices n and k of λ . Let $\tilde{g}$ be that eigenfunction of $\mathcal{A}$ for the eigenvalue λ which is normalized by the condition $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{g}) = 1$. Introducing the functions

$$U(\vartheta) := \tilde{g}_1^2(\vartheta) + \tilde{g}_2^2(\vartheta), \quad V(\vartheta) := \tilde{g}_2^2(\vartheta) - \tilde{g}_1^2(\vartheta), \quad W(\vartheta) := 2\tilde{g}_1(\vartheta)\tilde{g}_2(\vartheta)$$

and employing (3.1) it can be easily checked that U , V , and W satisfy the following system of ODEs

$$U'(\vartheta) = -2f(\vartheta)V(\vartheta) + Mm_e \cos \vartheta W(\vartheta), \quad f(\vartheta) = M\omega \sin \vartheta + \frac{k}{\sin \vartheta}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$V'(\vartheta) = -2f(\vartheta)U(\vartheta) + 2\lambda W(\vartheta), \quad (3.6)$$

$$W'(\vartheta) = 2Mm_e \cos \vartheta U(\vartheta) - 2\lambda V(\vartheta). \quad (3.7)$$

From analytic perturbation theory (see [14], Chap. VII, §3.4) we have

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dM} = \left(\frac{d\mathcal{A}}{dM} \tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \right) = \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \tilde{g}^*(\vartheta) \begin{pmatrix} -m_e \cos \vartheta & \omega \sin \vartheta \\ \omega \sin \vartheta & m_e \cos \vartheta \end{pmatrix} \tilde{g}(\vartheta) = m_e I_1 + \omega I_2 \quad (3.8)$$

with

$$I_1 = \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \cos \vartheta V(\vartheta), \quad I_2 = \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \sin \vartheta W(\vartheta).$$

In addition, from Lemma 1 in [16] the following estimates hold

$$|U(\vartheta)|, |V(\vartheta)|, |W(\vartheta)| \leq C \sin^{2k} \vartheta \quad (3.9)$$

with some constant $C > 0$. Since without loss of generality k can be assumed positive, it results that U , V and W vanish at $\vartheta = 0$ and $\vartheta = \pi$. If we multiply (3.6) by $\sin \vartheta$, integrate by parts and take into account that $\int_0^\pi d\vartheta U(\vartheta) = 1$ we obtain

$$2(M\omega + k) = I_1 + 2\lambda I_2 + 2M\omega I_3, \quad I_3 := \int_0^\pi d\vartheta \cos^2 \vartheta U(\vartheta). \quad (3.10)$$

If we multiply (3.7) by $\cos \vartheta$ and integrate by parts we get

$$2\lambda I_1 + I_2 = 2Mm_e I_3. \quad (3.11)$$

The next step consists in computing the integral entering on the l.h.s. in (3.10) and (3.11). By means of (3.6) we can rewrite (3.5) and (3.7) in terms of the functions U and V and their first derivatives as follows

$$U'(\vartheta) + 2f(\vartheta)V(\vartheta) = \frac{Mm_e}{2\lambda} \cos \vartheta \left(V'(\vartheta) + 2f(\vartheta)U(\vartheta) \right), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\left(V'(\vartheta) + 2f(\vartheta)U(\vartheta) \right)' = 4Mm_e \lambda \cos \vartheta U(\vartheta) - 4\lambda^2 V(\vartheta). \quad (3.13)$$

If we derivate (3.12) once with respect to ϑ and make use of (3.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(U'(\vartheta) + 2f(\vartheta)V(\vartheta) \right)' &= -\frac{Mm_e}{2\lambda} \sin \vartheta \left(V'(\vartheta) + 2f(\vartheta)U(\vartheta) \right) + \\ &+ 2Mm_e \cos \vartheta (Mm_e \cos \vartheta U(\vartheta) - \lambda V(\vartheta)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Notice that

$$\int_0^\pi d\vartheta \left(U'(\vartheta) + 2f(\vartheta)V(\vartheta) \right)' = \left(U'(\vartheta) + 2f(\vartheta)V(\vartheta) \right) \Big|_0^\pi = Mm_e \cos \vartheta W(\vartheta) \Big|_0^\pi = 0$$

because of (3.9). Hence, if we integrate (3.14) on the interval $(0, \vartheta)$ we get

$$2M(2m_e\lambda - \omega)I_3 + (1 - 4\lambda^2)I_1 = 2(M\omega + k). \quad (3.15)$$

Equations (3.10), (3.11) and (3.15) form a system for the unknowns I_1 , I_2 and I_3 . We solve it and we find

$$I_1 = 2\frac{M\omega + k}{1 - 4\lambda^2}, \quad I_2 = -2\lambda I_1, \quad I_3 = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Insertion of (3.16) into (3.8) gives (3.4). This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.2 *For fixed k , $\omega \neq 0$ and m_e there exists a unique solution of (3.4) subjected to the initial condition (3.3). The solution in implicit form is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega (\lambda_{n,k}^2(M) - \lambda_{n,k}^2(0)) + m_e (\lambda_{n,k}(M) - \lambda_{n,k}(0)) - \frac{\omega^2 - m_e^2}{2\omega} \ln \left(\frac{2\omega\lambda_{n,k}(M) - m_e}{2\omega\lambda_{n,k}(0) - m_e} \right) = \\ = M\omega^2(M\omega + 2k). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. For simplicity in notation we omit in the following the indices n and k of λ . Since (3.4) is separable the solution (3.17) satisfying the initial condition (3.3) can be obtained by computing the integrals entering in the following expression

$$\int_{\lambda(0)}^{\lambda(M)} d\lambda \frac{4\lambda^2 - 1}{2\omega\lambda - m_e} = 2 \int_0^M dM (M\omega + k). \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.3 *For fixed k , m_e and $\omega = 0$ the solution of (3.4) subjected to the initial condition (3.3) is given by*

$$\lambda_{n,k}(M) = \frac{1 + \left(-3c_{n,k}(M) + \sqrt{9c_{n,k}^2(M) - 1} \right)^{2/3}}{2\sqrt[3]{-3c_{n,k}(M) + \sqrt{9c_{n,k}^2(M) - 1}}},$$

$$c_{n,k}(M) = 2m_e k M + \lambda_{n,k}(0) - \frac{4}{3}\lambda_{n,k}^3(0)$$

for $|c_{n,k}(M)| \geq 1/3$.

Proof. For simplicity in notation we omit in the following the indices n and k of λ . When $\omega = 0$ (3.4) reduces to

$$\frac{d\lambda_{n,k}}{dM} = -\frac{2m_e k}{4\lambda^2 - 1}.$$

Taking into account that the above ODE is separable, the computation of

$$\int_{\lambda(0)}^{\lambda(M)} d\lambda (4\lambda^2 - 1) = -2m_e k \int_0^M dM$$

gives rise to the following cubic equation for λ

$$\frac{4}{3}\lambda^3(M) - \lambda(M) + c = 0, \quad c := 2kMm_e + \lambda(0) - \frac{4}{3}\lambda^3(0).$$

Since $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the only real root of the above equation is

$$\lambda = \frac{1 + (-3c + \sqrt{9c^2 - 1})^{2/3}}{2\sqrt[3]{-3c + \sqrt{9c^2 - 1}}}$$

for $9c^2 \geq 1$. $\square$

4. A quasi-linear PDE for the eigenvalues λ

Analogously to [16] we can study the eigenvalues of the angular problem as a function of the parameters $\mu := Mm_e$ and $\nu := M\omega$. Since the procedure is the same as in [16] with the only exception that now $a = M$ where a is the angular momentum per unit mass of the black hole we limit us to present the main result.

Theorem 4.1 *For fixed k and n the n -th eigenvalue $\lambda = \lambda_n(k; \mu, \nu)$ is an analytical function in $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying the first order quasi-linear partial differential equation*

$$(\mu + 2\nu\lambda)\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial\mu} + (\nu + 2\mu\lambda)\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial\nu} + 2k\mu - 2\mu\nu = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

with $\lambda_n(k, 0, 0)$ given by (3.3).

Proof. Same proof as in Thm.1, Sec.III in [16] with ν replaced now by $-\nu$. $\square$

In order to derive formal power series solutions of (4.1) it is convenient to introduce a new function $\Lambda(\mu, \nu)$ defined by the relation $\lambda(\mu, \nu) = \Lambda(\mu, \nu) + \lambda_n(k, 0, 0)$ and new independent variables $\tilde{\mu} := \mu - \nu$ and $\tilde{\nu} := \mu + \nu$. Hence, (4.1) becomes

$$a_1(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, \Lambda)\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial\tilde{\mu}} + a_2(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, \Lambda)\frac{\partial\Lambda}{\partial\tilde{\nu}} = f(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) \quad (4.2)$$

with

$$a_1(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, \Lambda) := \tilde{\mu}[1 - 2(\Lambda + \lambda_n(k, 0, 0))], \quad a_2(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, \Lambda) := \tilde{\nu}[1 + 2(\Lambda + \lambda_n(k, 0, 0))] \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$f(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) := \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\nu}^2 - \tilde{\mu}^2) - k(\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\mu}). \quad (4.4)$$

Since $\Lambda(0, 0) = 0$, $a_i(0, 0, 0) = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2$ and $f(0, 0) = 0$ we can apply a method similar to that developed in [17] to study formal power series solutions of (4.2). In what follows we are interested in the existence and uniqueness of the formal solution

$$\Lambda(x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \Lambda_\alpha x^\alpha, \quad \alpha = (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{N}^2, \quad |\alpha| = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}, \quad x = (\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (4.5)$$

centered at the origin for the equation (4.2). Moreover, we will investigate the convergence of the formal power series solutions (4.5) by computing its Gevrey order.

We recall that a function $F(x)$ with $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is said to be of Gevrey- $\{s\}$ class with $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ if the power series

$$B_s[F](x) = \sum_{|\alpha| \in \mathbb{N}^2} F_\alpha \frac{x^\alpha}{(\alpha!)^{s-1(2)}}, \quad 1^{(2)} := (1, 1), \quad (\alpha!)^{s-1(2)} := (\mathbf{m}!)^{s_1-1} (\mathbf{n}!)^{s_2-1}$$

converges in a neighborhood of $x = 0$. By $G^{\{s\}}$ we denote the set of all formal power series of Gevrey- $\{s\}$ class. Furthermore, $F(x) \in G^{\{(1,1)\}}$ if and only if $F(x)$ is a convergent power series near $x = 0$. For further details we refer to [18].

Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be the Jacobi matrix of the vector field

$$x \longmapsto (a_1(x, 0), a_2(x, 0)) = (\tilde{\mu}(1 - 2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0)), \tilde{\nu}(1 + 2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0)))$$

with x defined by (4.5). Then, we have

$$\mathfrak{J} = \left(\frac{\partial a_i(x, 0)}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{x=0} \right)_{i,j=1,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + 2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.6)$$

Lemma 4.2 *For all $k = \pm \frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ it results $\det \mathfrak{J} \neq 0$.*

Proof. An elementary computation involving (3.3) gives

$$\det \mathfrak{J} = 1 - 4\lambda_n^2(k, 0, 0) = 1 - 4 \left(|k| - \frac{1}{2} + |n| \right)^2.$$

Since $|k| \geq 1/2$ and $|n| \geq 1$ it follows that $|k| - \frac{1}{2} + |n| \geq 1$. Hence, $\det \mathfrak{J} \leq -3$. $\square$

In the next lemma we show that the so-called Poincaré condition is satisfied by (4.2).

Lemma 4.3 *For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ it results*

$$|\lambda_1 \mathbf{m} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{n} - f_\Lambda(0)| > c|\alpha|, \quad f_\Lambda(0) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Lambda} \Big|_{x=0} \quad (4.7)$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 denote the eigenvalues of the Jacobi matrix $\mathfrak{J}$, f is given by (4.4) and c is a positive constant independent of $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

Proof. Taking into account that $\partial f / \partial \Lambda = 0$ and employing (4.6) we obtain

$$|\lambda_1 \mathbf{m} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{n} - f_\Lambda(0)|^2 = (1 - 2\lambda_{n,k}(0))^2 \mathbf{m}^2 + (1 + 2\lambda_{n,k}(0))^2 \mathbf{n}^2 + 2(1 - 4\lambda_{n,k}^2(0)) \mathbf{m} \mathbf{n} \quad (4.8)$$

where $\lambda_{n,k}(0) = \lambda_n(k, 0, 0)$. To prove (4.7) we have to distinguish between the cases $n > 0$ and $n < 0$. In what follows we give the proof for $n > 0$ since the case $n < 0$ can be treated analogously. Let us rewrite (3.3) as $\lambda_{n,k}(0) = \text{sign}(n) |\lambda_{n,k}(0)|$ with $\lambda_{n,k}(0) = |k| - \frac{1}{2} + |n|$. For $n > 0$ (4.8) becomes

$$|\lambda_1 \mathbf{m} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{n} - f_\Lambda(0)|^2 = (1 - 2|\lambda_{n,k}(0)|)^2 \mathbf{m}^2 + (1 + 2|\lambda_{n,k}(0)|)^2 \mathbf{n}^2 + 2(1 - 4\lambda_{n,k}^2(0)) \mathbf{m} \mathbf{n}.$$

Since $1 + 2|\lambda_{n,k}(0)| > 1 - 2|\lambda_{n,k}(0)|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $k = \pm 1/2, \pm 3/2, \dots$ the above expression can be majorized as

$$|\lambda_1 \mathbf{m} + \lambda_2 \mathbf{n} - f_\Lambda(0)|^2 > |1 - 2|\lambda_{n,k}(0)||^2 (\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n})^2.$$

Hence, (4.7) is satisfied for some positive constant $c := |1 - 2|\lambda_{n,k}(0)||$. $\square$

Finally, notice that

$$\left. \frac{\partial a_i}{\partial \Lambda}(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, \Lambda) \right|_{(0,0,0)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2. \quad (4.9)$$

Since the Poincaré condition (4.7) and (4.9) are satisfied Thms.1.1–2, §1.2 in [17] imply that the equation (4.2) has a unique formal power solution

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} \Lambda_\alpha \tilde{\mu}^{\mathbf{m}} \tilde{\nu}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \alpha = (\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{N}^2, \quad |\alpha| = \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n}. \quad (4.10)$$

Furthermore, since $\Lambda \in G^{\{(1,1)\}}$ it results that (4.10) converges. The last task is to compute a recurrence relation for the coefficients Λ_α . To this purpose we rewrite (4.10) as follows

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} \tilde{\mu}^{\mathbf{m}} \tilde{\nu}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} \geq 1. \quad (4.11)$$

Since

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu})^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{r}=0}^{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{s}=0}^{\mathbf{n}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{s}} \right) \tilde{\mu}^{\mathbf{m}} \tilde{\nu}^{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} \geq 1, \quad \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s} \geq 1$$

from (4.2) we obtain the identity

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}=0}^{\infty} \left((\mathbf{m}c_- + \mathbf{n}c_+) \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} + (\mathbf{n} - \mathbf{m}) \sum_{\mathbf{r}=0}^{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{s}=0}^{\mathbf{n}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{s}} \right) \tilde{\mu}^{\mathbf{m}} \tilde{\nu}^{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\nu}^2 - \tilde{\mu}^2) - k(\tilde{\nu} + \tilde{\mu})$$

with $\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} \geq 1$ and $c_{\pm} := 1 \pm 2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0)$. If we compare the terms of equal order in $\tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\nu}$ it follows that

$$\Lambda_{1,0} = \frac{k}{2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0) - 1}, \quad \Lambda_{0,1} = -\frac{k}{2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0) + 1}, \quad \Lambda_{11} = 0$$

$$\Lambda_{2,0} = \frac{(2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0) - 1)^2 - 4k^2}{4(2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0) - 1)^3}, \quad \Lambda_{0,2} = \frac{(2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0) + 1)^2 - 4k^2}{4(2\lambda_n(k, 0, 0) + 1)^3},$$

and for $\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{n} \geq 3$ the coefficients $\Lambda_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}}$ are given by the relation

$$\Lambda_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}} = \frac{\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}}{\mathbf{m}c_- + \mathbf{n}c_+} \sum_{\mathbf{r}=0}^{\mathbf{m}} \sum_{\mathbf{s}=0}^{\mathbf{n}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}} \Lambda_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{s}}, \quad \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s} \geq 1. \quad (4.12)$$

Finally, notice that for $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{n}$ we have $\Lambda_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}} = 0$ for all $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$.

5. Analysis of the radial system (2.5)

In this section we show that there exists no bound state for the Dirac equation in the extreme Kerr metric. The main idea behind the proof is that after a suitable transformation the deficiency indices of the transformed radial operator are zero. In fact, the deficiency index of a differential operator simply counts the number of square integrable solutions.

Theorem 5.1 *The solution set of the system (1.1) with (1.2) or (1.3) is empty.*

Proof. In order to apply some results of [19] we bring the radial system (2.5) in a more amenable form by transforming the dependent variable as $f(r) = (f_1(r), f_2(r))^t := (F(r) - iG(r), F(r) + iG(r))^t$. Moreover, we introduce a new independent variable x defined by the relation

$$\frac{dx}{dr} = \frac{r^2 + M^2}{(r - M)^2}.$$

The solution of the above equation is

$$x(r) = r - \frac{2M^2}{r - M} + 2M \ln(r - M)$$

and it can be easily seen that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ since $x \rightarrow +\infty$ for $r \rightarrow +\infty$ and $x \rightarrow -\infty$ for $r \rightarrow M^+$. Let $\Xi = (F, G)^t$. Hence, (2.5) becomes

$$(\mathfrak{U} \Xi)(x) = J \frac{d\Xi}{dx} + B(x) \Xi = \omega \Xi \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{m_e r(x)(r(x)-M)+kM}{r^2(x)+M^2} & \lambda \frac{r(x)-M}{r^2(x)+M^2} \\ \lambda \frac{r(x)-M}{r^2(x)+M^2} & \frac{m_e r(x)(r(x)-M)-kM}{r^2(x)+M^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to the above transformations the integrability condition (2.7) for the radial spinors simplifies to

$$(\Xi, \Xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx (F^2(x) + G^2(x)) < \infty.$$

Notice that the formal differential expression $\mathfrak{U}$ is formally symmetric since $J = -J^*$ and $B = B^*$. Let $\mathcal{S}_{min}$ be the minimal operator associated to $\mathfrak{U}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{min}$ acts in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)^2$ with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. The operator $\mathcal{S}_{min}$ with domain of definition $D(\mathcal{S}_{min}) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})^2$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{min} \Xi := \mathfrak{U} \Xi$ for $\Xi \in D(\mathcal{S}_{min})$ is densely defined and closable. Let S denote the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{min}$. We apply the so-called decomposition method due to Neumark [20]. To this purpose, let $\mathcal{S}_{min,\pm}$ be the minimal operators associated to $\mathfrak{U}$ when restricted on the half-lines $[0, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, 0]$, respectively. We consider $\mathcal{S}_{min,\pm}$ acting in the Hilbert spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}_\pm, dx)^2$ with respect to the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$. The operators $\mathcal{S}_{min,\pm}$ given by $D(\mathcal{S}_{min,\pm}) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\pm)^2$ with $\mathcal{S}_{min,\pm} \Xi_\pm := \mathfrak{U} \Xi_\pm$ for $\Xi_\pm \in D(\mathcal{S}_{min,\pm})$ are densely defined and closable. Notice that since the formal differential operator $\mathfrak{U}$ is in the limit point case at $\pm\infty$ the operators $\mathcal{S}_{min,\pm}$ are even essentially self-adjoint. In the following we denote the closure of $\mathcal{S}_{min,\pm}$ by $S_\pm$. Let $N_\pm(S_\pm)$ be the deficiency indices of the system (5.1) and let us denote by $\kappa_\pm$ the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of the matrix iJ . Clearly, we have $\kappa_+ = 1 = \kappa_-$. Thm 5.2 (see Sect. 5.1 in [19]) implies that $N_\pm(S_+) = 1 = N_\pm(S_-)$. According to Def. 2.14 (Sect. 2.5 in [19]) the system (5.1) is definite on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and on $\mathbb{R}_-$ and Prop. 5.4 (Sect. 5.1 in [19]) implies that the deficiency indices for S are

$$N_\pm(S) = N_\pm(S_+) + N_\pm(S_-) - 2 = 0.$$

Therefore, the system (5.1) does not admit any square integrable solution on the whole real line and this completes the proof. $\square$

To conclude, we mention that in [3] a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an energy eigenvalue for the Dirac equation in the extreme Kerr-Newman metric have been derived. However, also in that case the conditions are so complicated that it is not clear at all if they admit at least one non-trivial solution. We believe that the approach we used to show the absence of bound state solutions for the Dirac equation in the extreme Kerr metric should work as well in the case of the extreme Kerr-Newman metric since in the latter case the radial system can be written again a form similar to (5.1).

References

- [1] Belgiorno F, Martellini M and Baldicchi M 2000 *Phys. Rev. D* **62** 084014
- [2] Schmid H 2004 *Math. Nach.* **274** 117
- [3] Winklmeier M and Yamada O 2006 *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 102503
- [4] Batic D and Schmid H 2007 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** 13443
- [5] Ansorg M, Kleinwächter A and Meinel R 2003 *Astrophys. J.* **582** L87
- [6] Wong C Y 1974 *Astrophys. J.* **190** 675
- [7] Eriguchi Y and Sugimoto D 1981 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65** 1870
- [8] Thorne K S 1998 *Black Holes and Relativistic Stars* (Chicago and London: The University of Chicago Press)
- [9] Meinel R 2004 *Ann. of Phys.* **13** 600
- [10] Chandrasekhar S 1983 *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (New York: The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press)
- [11] Finster F, Kamran N, Smoller J and Yau S T 2003 *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **7** 25
- [12] Chandrasekhar S 1992 *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes* (Oxford: Clarendon Press)
- [13] Weidmann J 1987 *Spectral Theory of Ordinary Differential Operators* Lect. Notes Math. Vol. 1258 (Springer: Berlin)
- [14] Kato T 1966 *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators* (Springer: New York)
- [15] Winklemeier M 2006 *The Angular Part of the Dirac Equation in the Kerr-Newman Metric: Estimates for the Eigenvalues* (Verlag Dr. Hut: München)
- [16] Batic D, Schmid H and Winklmeier M 2005 *J. Math. Phys.* **46** 12504
- [17] Masaki H 2006 *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **42** 933
- [18] Balser W 2000 *Formal Power Series and Linear Systems of Meromorphic Ordinary Differential Equations* (Springer: New York)
- [19] Lesch M and Malamud M 2005 *J. Differential Equations* **189** 556
- [20] Neumark M A 1960 *Lineare Differentialoperatoren* (Mathematische Lehrbücher und Monographien, Akademie-Verlag: Berlin)